

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vaginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			1.0.00		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,693	02/12/2001	Tai-Jay Chang	11709-003001 / 0674-5737U	6148	
	590 06/30/2003		3077-31370		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC					
225 FRANKLI			EXAMI	NER	
BOSTON, MA	02110		PAK, MICHAEL D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646	14	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	<i>l></i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/781,693	CHANG, TAI-JAY		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
·		Michael Pak	1646		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
I HE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. t, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO is tatute. Cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 28 April 2003 .			
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 26-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-25</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers				
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
•	I. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in A	pplication No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	knowledgment is made of a claim for don				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has be	een received.		
Attachment(
2) D Notice 3) D Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ttion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15		

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 8-25 in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 8-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is 2. not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or a well established utility. The claims are directed to a nucleic acid molecule encoding androgen receptor associated protein and vectors comprising the nucleic acid molecule, cells comprising the vectors, and method of making the protein. The specification on page 1 disclose the asserted utility of using the polypeptide in identifying potential drug targets for anticancer drug development. However, there is no nexus between the unknown properties of the protein and the treatment of cancer. Thus, the treatment of the disease lacks substantial utility because further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use is required. The specification as filed does not disclose or provide evidence that points to a property of the claimed receptor such that another nonasserted utility would be well established. Any utility of the nucleic acid encoding the protein or other specific asserted utility is directly dependent on the function of the protein. A circular assertion of utility is created where the utility of the protein is needed to break out the circular assertion of utility. The claimed method using the protein does not have well established utility because no nexus between the protein and anti-cancer

Art Unit: 1646

treatment has been shown. The claimed polypeptides do not have substantial utility because the skilled artisan would need to prepare, isolate, and analyze the protein in order to determine its function and use. Therefore, the invention is not in readily available form. Instead, further experimentation of the protein itself would be required before it could be used. The disclosed use for the nucleic acid molecule of the claimed invention is generally applicable to any nucleic acid and therefore is not particular to the nucleic acid sequence claimed. The claims directed to vectors, host cells, and the process of expressing the protein do not have utility because the nucleic acid without utility is needed to practice the inventions.

Claims 8-25 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1646

3. Claims 11, 14, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recite "hybridizes under stringent condition" which is ambiguous because it is a relative term and the it is not clear what is the metes and bounds of the claimed nucleotide. Claims 14, 18 and 23 are dependent on claim 11.

4. Claims 11, 14, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

Claims encompass an isolated nucleic acid encoding variants and fragments of proteins because the nucleic is only limited by the functional terms. However, the essential feature of the invention is the nucleic acid molecule which encodes a protein of SEQ ID NO:2, and one of skilled in the art cannot envision the full genus of molecules of the claimed variant nucleic acid molecules. The claims encompass nucleic acid molecule encoding variants whose structure is not known or nucleic acid molecules encoding other variant proteins with different function from SEQ ID NO:2 taught in the specification. Claimed nucleic acid encoding protein variants encompass a large genus of proteins which are alleles or variants whose function has yet to be identified from different species of animal because the structure of the newly identified naturally

Art Unit: 1646

occurring protein is not known. *University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. (CAFC) 43 USPQ2d 1398* held that a generic claim to human or mammalian when only the rat protein sequence was disclosed did not have written description in the specification.

Priority

5. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. However, the continuing application upon which priority is claimed fails to provide adequate support under 35 U.S.C. 112 for claims 8-25 of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1646

6. Claims 8, 10-12, 14-15, 17-20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hillman et al.(WO 01/07471).

Hillman et al. disclose nucleic acid molecule which encode protein with 99.4% amino acid sequence identity (SEQ ID NO:53; page 89). Hillman et al. disclose the vectors and host cells and method of producing the protein (pages 33-35, 37 and 46). Hillman et al. teach hybridization probes (pages 49-50). The protein inherently has the function because the proteins are 99% identical.

- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Hichael D. Drug Michael Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

25 June 2003